

Bill to,lemon tree Atlanta for restaurant LLC  
Invoice number,4673357962  
Invoice date,"Feb 28, 2023"  
Billing ID,9335-8753-6812  
Currency,USD  
Invoice amount,4.28

Domain name,Subscription,Description,Start date,End date,Quantity,Amount  
lemontreeatl.com,Google Workspace Business Standard,Usage,Feb 1,Feb  
2,2,1.71  
lemontreeatl.com,Google Workspace Business Standard,Usage,Feb 26,Feb  
28,2,2.57  
, ,Tax (0%), , , ,0.00

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUN  
STATE OF GEORGIA  
FAMILY COURT**

**DEANNA HAAL, § Petitioner, § §**  
FILED IN OFFICE FEB 27 2019 IL  
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT FULTON COUNTY, GA  
V.  
you. § CIVIL ACTION FIL2018CV310972  
**§ SHAMEL HANAFLI, § Respondent. §**

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR REGISTRATION OF CHILD CUSTODY  
DETERMINATION**

The above-styled matter came before this Court for a hearing pursuant to  
Respondent's Objection to Request for Registration of Child Custody  
Determination

pursuant to O.C.G.A. §§ 19-9-85(c)(2) and 19-9-85(d) on January 15, 2019.  
Present for said hearing were the following: DEANNA HAAL, Petitioner,  
JENNIFER C. SUGGS and MARIJANE E. CAUTHORN, Counsel for Petitioner,

SHAMEL HANAFI, Respondent, and ANGELA M. KINLEY, Counsel for Respondent. After reviewing evidence and testimony of both parties, hearing argument of counsel and considering all matters of record, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

1.

Petitioner filed her Request for Registration of Child Custody Determination (hereinafter referred to as the "Request") on October 16, 2018 and Respondent was properly served with process pursuant to the Affidavit of Process Server filed on October 16, 2018.

2. Pursuant to O.C.G.A. §§ 19-9-85(c)(2) and 19-9-85(d), Respondent timely filed his objection to the Request and requested a hearing to contest the validity of the Request.

3. The Court finds that the parties were previously married and are parents of one (1) minor child, to wit: K.S.H., a female child, birth year 2012 (hereinafter referred to as the "Child"). The Court finds that the Child is a citizen of the United States as set forth on the Child's birth certificate which was admitted into evidence.

4. The Court finds that the issuing court of the documents sought to be registered by Petitioner did not have personal jurisdiction or subject matter jurisdiction of the child custody matter, as contemplated by O.C.G.A. § 19-9-85(d)(1). The Court finds that Respondent was not a resident of Egypt nor did Respondent maintain

any domicile in Egypt at the time Petitioner herein filed the child custody action in Egypt, that at the time of filing of the child custody action in Egypt, Respondent was a resident of Fulton County, Georgia and that Petitioner was aware of Respondent's physical address and domicile in the United States of America. Finally, the Court finds that Respondent did not waive or consent to the jurisdiction of the Egyptian courts for the purpose of the custody action filed by Petitioner.

5. The Court finds that Respondent herein was entitled to notice of the child custody

proceedings sought to be registered by Petitioner, but that notice was not given in accordance with the standards of O.C.G.A. § 19-9-47 before the court that issued the order for which registration is sought, as contemplated by O.C.G.A. § 19-9-85(d)(3). The Court finds that Respondent was not served with process in a manner reasonably calculated to give actual notice of the Egyptian custody proceedings.

6. The Court further finds that Petitioner herein was represented by counsel regarding the proceedings for child custody in Egypt and by counsel regarding an action for child custody which was pending in the Superior Court of Fulton County, to wit: Shamel Hanafi v. Deanna Hussein Aal, Civil Action File No. 2017CV288779, at the time of filing of Petitioner's proceedings for child custody in Egypt, and that despite being represented by counsel in both actions, neither attorney made any effort to provide any courtesy copy of the Egyptian pleadings to Respondent or Respondent's counsel in the Fulton County custody action.

---

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

**The Court hereby DENIES the Request for Registration of Child Custody Determination pursuant to O.C.G.A. §§ 19-9-85(d)(1) and 19-9-85(d)(3) based on its findings that the issuing court for the documents sought to be registered did not have personal jurisdiction over the Respondent nor subject matter jurisdiction of the child custody action and, further, Respondent was not served properly with notice of the child custody proceedings in Egypt. Accordingly, the Egyptian child custody orders sought to**

3. "To be registered by Petitioner in the above-styled matter are denied registration and are not subject to enforcement by the Court.

day of February, 2019, nunc pro tune, January 15,  
SO ORDERED this c2-;

Prepared by:

Angela M. Kinley

Attorney for Respondent Georgia State Bar No. 159097 3400 Peachtree  
Road NE Suite 1011  
Atlanta, Georgia 30326

(404) 784-7186 angela@kinleylaw.com

20 19 .

fiDNORABLE8EL1NOA E. EDWARDS Fulton County Superior Court  
Family Division

--==--

4